10707 Deep Learning

Russ Salakhutdinov

Machine Learning Department rsalakhu@cs.cmu.edu

Sequence Models

Slides borrowed from ICML Tutorial

Seq2Seq ICML Tutorial

Oriol Vinyals and Navdeep Jaitly

@OriolVinyalsML | @NavdeepLearning

Site: https://sites.google.com/view/seq2seq-icm

Sydney, Australia, 2017

Sequences

• Words, Letters

50 years ago, the fathers of artificial intelligence convinced everybody that logic was the key to intelligence. Somehow we had to get computers to do logical reasoning. The alternative approach, which they thought was crazy, was to forget logic and try and understand how networks of brain cells learn things. Curiously, two people who rejected the logic based approach to AI were Turing and Von Neumann. If either of them had lived I think things would have turned out differently... now neural networks are everywhere and the crazy approach is winning.

• Speech

Images, Videos

©Warren Photographic

• Programs

while (*d++ = *s++);

• Sequential Decision Making (RL)



Classical Models for Sequence Prediction

- Sequence prediction was classically handled as a structured prediction task
 - Most were built on conditional independence assumptions
 - Others such as DAGGER were based on supervisory signals and auxiliary information



Figure credit: Li Deng

Two Key Ingredients



Hinton, G., Salakhutdinov, R. "Reducing the Dimensionality of Data with Neural Networks." Science (2006)

Mikolov, T., et al. "Recurrent neural network based language model." Interspeech (2010)

Language Models

		contex	t		target	$P(w_t w_{t-1}, w_{t-2}, \dots w_{t-5})$				
the	cat	sat	on	the	mat	0.15				
w_{t-5}	w_{t-4}	w_{t-3}	w_{t-2}	w_{t-1}	w_t					
the	cat	sat	on	the	rug	0.12				
the	cat	sat	on	the	hat	0.09				
the	cat	sat	on	the	dog	0.01				
the	cat	sat	on	the	the	0				
the	cat	sat	on	the	sat	0				
the	cat	sat	on	the	robot	?				
the	cat	sat	on	the	printer	?				

N-grams



N-grams

$$P(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{T-1}, w_T) \approx \prod_{t=1}^T P(w_t | w_{t-1}, \dots, w_{t-n+1})$$

the	cat	sat	on	the	mat	$P(w_1)$
the	cat	sat	on	the	mat	$P(w_2 w_1)$
the	cat	sat	on	the	mat	$P(w_3 w_2,w_1)$
the	cat	sat	on	the	mat	$P(w_4 w_3, w_2)$
the	cat	sat	on	the	mat	$P(w_5 w_4,w_3)$
the	cat	sat	on	the	mat	$P(w_6 w_5, w_4)$

Chain Rule

$$P(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{T-1}, w_T) = \prod_{t=1}^T P(w_t | w_{t-1}, w_{t-2}, \dots, w_1)$$

the	cat	sat	on	the	mat	$P(w_1)$
the	cat	sat	on	the	mat	$P(w_2 w_1)$
the	cat	sat	on	the	mat	$P(w_3 w_2,w_1)$
the	cat	sat	on	the	mat	$P(w_4 w_3, w_2, w_1)$
the	cat	sat	on	the	mat	$P(w_5 w_4, w_3, w_2, w_1)$
the	cat	sat	on	the	mat	$P(w_6 w_5, w_4, w_3, w_2, w_1)$

Key Insight: Vectorizing Context

$$p(w_t|w_1, \dots, w_{t-1}) = p_{\theta}(w_t|f_{\theta}(w_1, \dots, w_{t-1}))$$



Bengio, Y. et al., "A Neural Probabilistic Language Model", *JMLR (2001, 2003)* Mnih, A., Hinton, G., "Three new graphical models for statistical language modeling", *ICML 2007*

Slide Credit: Piotr Mirowski











What do we Optimize?

$\theta^* = \arg\max_{\theta} E_{w \sim data} \log P_{\theta}(w_1, \dots, w_T)$



Learning Sequences – Piotr Mirowski

• Forward Pass



Learning Sequences – Piotr Mirowski

Backward Pass

Seq2Seq

Joint Language and Translation Modeling with Recurrent	Neural Networks Recurr	ent Continuous Translation Models	Learning Phrase Representation for Statistical Ma	ons using RNN Encoder–Decoder achine Translation	Sequence to Sequence Learning with Neural Networks			
Michael Auli, Michel Galley, Chris Quirk, Geoffrey Z Microsoft Research	weig Na	Kalchbrenner Phil Blunsom	Kyunghyun Cho Bart van Merriënboer Caglar Gulcehr Université de Montréal	re Dzmitry Bahdanau Jacobs University, Germany				
{michael.auli,mgalley,chrisq,gzweig}@micros	soft.com {nal.ke	Department of Configure Science Universion of Oxford Labbrenner,phil.blunsom}€cs.ox.ac.uk	firstname.lastname@umontreal.ca Fethi Bougares Holger Schwenk Université du Maine, France U firstname.lastname@lume.univ.impans.fi	d.bahdanau@jacobs-university.de Yoshua Bengio /iniversité de Montéal, CIFAR Senior Fellow fr find.meñon the web	Ilya Sutskever Google ilyasu@google.com vi	Oriol Vinyals Quoc V. Le Google Google nyals@google.com gvl@google.com		
<text><section-header><section-header><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></section-header></section-header></text>	<text><text><section-header><section-header><section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></text></text>	 tics, linguistic or otherwise, they do not share statistical weight in the models' estimation of their range. Besides ignorized the similarity of phrase pairs, this leads to general agency is user. The estimation is sparse or skewel for the large number of rare or unseen phrase pairs, which is do not other domains is other limited. Continuous representations have also does not be estimated or their range. The second sec	PIODIDIDIDIDIDIDIDIDIDIDIDIDIDIDIDIDIDID	find the second seco	11yasu8google.com vi Deep Neural Networks (DNNs) lent performance on difficul len large labeled raining sets are av- pression of the sector of the sector performance on difficul lenges are surger sequence form the vector respective as multilayered on out-for- to a vector of a fixed dimension target sequence for source of 33.3 source was penalized on out-for- have difficulty on long sentence achieves a BLEU source of 34.8 source was penalized on out-for- have difficulty on long sentence achieves as BLEU source of 33.3 to EU the 1000 hypethol 55.3 to EU the 10	yyala@google.com qvl@google.com Abstract are powerful models that have achieved excel- iniguasks. Athhough DNNs work well whenever ialide, they cannot be used to may expenses to motions on the sequence structure. Our method from Memory (CISTM) to may the imput sequence inty, and then another deep LSTM to decode the Ormania result is that or an affaidh to Franch are the structure. During the sequence ity, and then another deep LSTM to decode the Ormania result is that or an affaidh to Franch a the entire test set, where the LSTM's BLEU valuary work. Additionally, the LSTM did not s. For comparison, a phrase-based SMT system, in the same dataset. Where we used the LSTM roduced by the aforementioned SMT system, its are relatively invariant to the active and the pas- at everning the order of the works in all source as) inproved the LSTM's performance markedly, and the optimization problem easier.		
1044		1700	tistical machine translation (SM1), deep neural networks have begun to show promising results. (Schwenk, 2012) summarizes a successful usage	overall translation performance. The further anal- ysis of the model reveals that the RNN Encoder- Decoder learns a continuous space representation of a physical that measures hash the segmentic and	Despite their flexibility and power, DNNs can be sensibly encoded with vectors of	can only be applied to problems whose inputs and targets fixed dimensionality. It is a significant limitation, since and with sequences the sequence of the second		
Proceedings of the 2013 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, Seattle, Wathington, USA, 18-21 October 2013. ©2013 Association for Computational Seattle, Wathington, USA, 18-21 October 2013.	pages 1044-1054, Proceedings of the 2013 Conference Linguistics Seattle, Washington, USA,	ce on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, pages 1700–1709, 8-21 October 2013. ©2013 Association for Computational Linguistics	of recurrence ward neural networks in the framework of phrase-based SMT system.	or a privace use preserves both the semantic and syntactic structure of the phrase.	For example, speech recognition and mac tion answering can also be seen as map	hine translation are sequential problems. Likewise, ques- ping a sequence of words representing the question to a		

- 1. Auli, M., et al. "Joint Language and Translation Modeling with Recurrent Neural Networks." *EMNLP (2013)*
- 2. Kalchbrenner, N., et al. "Recurrent Continuous Translation Models." *EMNLP (2013)*
- 3. Cho, K., et al. "Learning Phrase Representations using RNN Encoder-Decoder for Statistical MT." EMNLP (2014)
- 4. Sutskever, I., et al. "Sequence to Sequence Learning with Neural Networks." NIPS (2014)

Seq2Seq



Input sequence

$$P(y_1, \dots, y_{T'} | x_1, \dots, x_T) = \prod_{t=1}^{T'} p(y_t | v, y_1, \dots, y_{t-1})$$

Decoding in a Nutshell (Beam Size 2)

 $y^* = \arg \max_{y_1, \dots, y_{T'}} P(y_1, \dots, y_{T'} | x_1, \dots, x_T)$



Code

Source:

https://github.com/keveman/tensorflow-

tutorial/blob/master/PTB%20Word%20Language%20Modeling.ipynb

```
class LSTMCell(object):
 def init (self, state size):
    self.state size = state size
    self.W f = tf.Variable(self.initializer())
    self.W i = tf.Variable(self.initializer())
    self.W o = tf.Variable(self.initializer())
    self.W C = tf.Variable(self.initializer())
    self.b f = tf.Variable(tf.zeros([state size]))
    self.b i = tf.Variable(tf.zeros([state size]))
    self.b o = tf.Variable(tf.zeros([state size]))
    self.b C = tf.Variable(tf.zeros([state size]))
 def call (self, x t, h t1, C t1):
   X = tf.concat(1, [h t1, x t])
   f t = tf.sigmoid(tf.matmul(X, self.W f) + self.b f)
    i t = tf.sigmoid(tf.matmul(X, self.W i) + self.b i)
   o t = tf.sigmoid(tf.matmul(X, self.W o) + self.b o)
   Ctilde t = tf.tanh(tf.matmul(X, self.W C) + self.b C)
   C t = f t * C t1 + i t * Ctilde t
    h t = o t * tf.tanh(C t)
    return h t, C t
 def initializer(self):
    return tf.random uniform([2*self.state size, self.state size],
                             -0.1, 0.1)
```

Vicious Cycle



(Some) Tricks of the Trade

- Long sequences?
 - Attention
 - Bigger state
- Can't overfit?
 - Bigger hidden state
 - Deep LSTM + Skip Connections
- Overfit?
 - Dropout + Ensembles
- Tuning
 - Keep calm and decrease your learning rate
 - Initialization of parameters is critical (in seq2seq we used U(-0.05, 0.05))
 - Clip the gradients!
 - E.g. if ||grad|| > 5: grad = grad/||grad|| * 5

Applications

Machine Translation

		Method		test BLEU	score ((ntst14)				
		Bahdanau et al. [2]		-	28.45					
		Baseline System [29]		ĺ						
		Single forward LSTM, beam si	ize 12	2	26.17					
		Single reversed LSTM, beam si	ize 12	-	30.59					
		Ensemble of 5 reversed LSTMs, be	am size 1	-	33.00					
		Ensemble of 2 reversed LSTMs, bea	am size 12		33.27					
		Ensemble of 5 reversed LSTMs, be	am size 2	-	34.50					
		Ensemble of 5 reversed LSTMs, bea	am size 12		34.81					
4			15	-		O I was (given a ca	ard by her	in the gai	rden
3-	C	10-	○ In the garden , she gave me a card							
2 - 1 -		OMary is in love with John	5 -			C	She gav	ve me a ca	ard in the	garden
0- -1-	OJohn admires Mary	OMary respects John	0 -							
-2	OJohn is in love with Mar	-5	○ In the ga	୦ ୧ arden , I ହ	She was g gave her a	given a ca a card	ard by me	in the gar	den	
-3-			-10							
-4 -5 -	OJohn respects Mary		-15	-			⊖ I ga	ave her a	card in the	e garden
-6 -8	-6 -4 -2 0	2 4 6 8 10	-20 -15	5 –10	-5	0	5	10	15	20

Sutskever, I., et al. "Sequence to Sequence Learning with Neural Networks." NIPS (2014)

Machine Translation: Concerns

- Using Language Models [1]
- OOV words [2]
- Sequence length



- Gulcehre, C., et al. "On using monolingual corpora in neural machine translation." arXiv (2015). 1.
- 2. Luong, T., and Manning, C. "Achieving open vocabulary neural MT with hybrid word-character models." arXiv (2016).

p(English | French)



- 1. Vinyals, O., et al. "Show and Tell: A Neural Image Caption Generator." CVPR (2015).
- 2. Mao, J., et al. "Deep captioning with multimodal recurrent neural networks (m-rnn)." ICLR (2015).
- 3. Karpathy, A., Li, F., "Deep visual-semantic alignments for generating image descriptions." CVPR (2015)
- 4. Kiros, Zemel, Salakhutdinov, "Unifying Visual-Semantic Embeddings with Multimodal Neural Language Models", TACL 2015



 $\theta^{\star} = \arg\max_{\theta} p(S|I)$



$$\theta^{\star} = \arg\max_{\theta} p(S|I)$$



a car is parked in the middle of nowhere .

a ferry boat on a marina with a group of people .



a wooden table and chairs arranged in a room .





there is a cat sitting on a shelf .



a little boy with a bunch of friends on the street .



Human: A close up of two bananas with bottles in the background.

BestModel: A bunch of bananas and a bottle of wine.



Human: A woman holding up a yellow banana to her face.

BestModel: A woman holding a banana up to her face.



Human: A man outside cooking with a sub in his hand.

BestModel: A man is holding a sandwich in his hand.



Human: Someone is using a small grill to melt his sandwich.

BestModel: A person is cooking some food on a grill.



Human: A blue , yellow and red train travels across the tracks near a depot.

BestModel: A blue and yellow train traveling down train tracks.

Learning to Execute

• One of the first (modern) examples of learning algorithms

• 2014--??? "era of discovery" \rightarrow Apply seq2seq to everything

```
Input:
    j=8584
    for x in range(8):
        j+=920
    b=(1500+j)
    print((b+7567))
Target: 25011.
```

Input:

```
i=8827
c=(i-5347)
print((c+8704) if 2641<8500 else 5308)
Target: 12184.
```

Input:

vqppkn sqdvfljmnc y2vxdddsepnimcbvubkomhrpliibtwztbljipcc **Target:** hkhpg

Zaremba, W., Sutskever, I. "Learning To Execute." arxiv (2014).

Seq2Seq - Limitations

• Fixed Size Embeddings are easily overwhelmed by long inputs or long outputs





Bahdanau, D., et al. "Neural Machine Translation by Jointly Learning to Align and Translate." ICLR (2015)

Attention

Seq2Seq - The issue with long inputs

- Same embedding informs the entire output
- Needs to capture all the information about the input regardless of its length
 A B C D _ X Y Z

Is there a better way to pass the information from encoder to the decoder ?





Seq2Seq



• A different embedding computed for every output step



• A different embedding computed for every output step



• A different embedding computed for every output step



• Embedding used to predict output, and compute next hidden state



• Embedding used to predict output, and compute next hidden state



Attention arrows for step 1 omitted

• Embedding used to predict output, and compute next hidden state



Attention arrows for steps 1 and 2 omitted

Attention Based Embedding

- Linear blending of embedding RNN states e₁ e₂ e₃ e₄ is a natural choice
- How to produce the coefficients (attention vector) for blending ?
 - $\circ~$ Content based coefficients based on query state h_i and embedding RNN states $\,e_1\,e_2\,e_3\,e_4\,$

Dot product Attention

- Inputs: "I am a cat."
- Input RNN states: **e**₁ **e**₂ **e**₃ **e**₄
- Decoder RNN state at step i (query): h_i
- Compute scalars h^T_ie₁, h^T_ie₂, h^T_ie₃, h^T_ie₄ representing

similarity / relevance between encoder steps and query.

• Normalize [h_i^Te₁, h_i^Te₂, h_i^Te₃, h_i^Te₄] with softmax to

produce attention weights, e.g. [0.0 0.05 0.9 0.05]



Content Based Attention

Attention [Bahdanau, Cho and Bengio, 2014]
$$u_j = v^T \tanh(W_1 e_j + W_2 d)$$
 $j \in (1, \dots, n)$ $a_j = \operatorname{softmax}(u_j)$ $j \in (1, \dots, n)$ $d' = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j e_j$

Graves, A., et al. "Neural Turing Machines." arxiv (2014)

Weston, J., et al. "Memory Networks." arxiv (2014)

Other strategies for attention models

• Tensored attention

- Minh-Thang Luong, Hieu Pham, and Christopher D. Manning. "Effective Approaches to Attentionbased Neural Machine Translation." EMNLP'15.
- Multiple heads
- Pyramidal encoders
 - William Chan, Navdeep Jaitly, Quoc Le, Oriol Vinyals. "Listen Attend and Spell". ICASSP 2015.

• Hierarchical Attention

 Andrychowicz, Marcin, and Karol Kurach. "Learning efficient algorithms with hierarchical attentive memory." *arXiv preprint arXiv:1602.03218* (2016).

Hard Attention

 Xu, Kelvin, et al. "Show, attend and tell: Neural image caption generation with visual attention." ICML 2015