## 10-701: Introduction to Machine Learning Lecture 8 - Bayesian Networks

Henry Chai
9/25/23

- Announcements
- HW2 released 9/20, due 10/4 at 11:59 PM


## Front Matter

- Recommended Readings
- Murphy, Chapters 10.1-10.5

Recall:
How hard is modelling $P(X \mid Y)$ ?

| $X_{1}$ <br> ("hat") | $X_{2}$ <br> ("cat") | $X_{3}$ <br> ("dog") | $X_{4}$ <br> ("fish") | $X_{5}$ <br> ("mom") | $X_{6}$ <br> ("dad") | $P(X \mid Y=1)$ | $P(X \mid Y=0)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\theta_{1}$ | $\theta_{64}$ |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\theta_{2}$ | $\theta_{65}$ |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\theta_{3}$ | $\theta_{66}$ |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\theta_{4}$ | $\theta_{67}$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $1-\sum_{i=1}^{63} \theta_{i}$ | $1-\sum_{i=64}^{126} \theta_{i}$ |

- Assume features are conditionally independent given the label:

$$
P(X \mid Y)=\prod_{d=1}^{D} P\left(X_{d} \mid Y\right)
$$

## Recall:

Naïve Bayes Assumption

- Pros:
- Significantly reduces computational complexity
- Also reduces model complexity, combats overfitting
- Cons:
- Is a strong, often illogical assumption
- We'll see a relaxed version of this next week today when we discuss Bayesian networks
- "the city's warning system was hacked late on Friday [4/7/2017]"

Hacking Attack Woke Up Dallas With Emergency Sirens, Officials Say

苗 Give this article

## Motivating Example

- "The alarms, which started going off around 11:40 p.m. Friday and lasted until 1:20 a.m. Saturday, ... jarring residents awake and flooding 911 with thousands of calls..."
- "...the sirens, which are meant to alert the public to severe weather or other emergencies, ..."
- "Social media was flooded with complaints."
- $H=$ sirens are $\underline{\text { hacked }}$


## Constructing <br> a Bayesian Network

- $W$ = extreme weather event occurred

$S=$ sirens go off overnight
- $C=911$ flooded with phone calls
- $M=$ social media flooded with posts
- All variables are binary

- By the chain rule of probability, the full joint distribution is
- $P(H, W, S, C, M)=$

$$
P(M \mid C, S, H, W)
$$

$$
P(C \mid S, H, W)
$$

$$
P(S \mid H, W)
$$

$$
P(H \mid W)
$$

$$
P(W)
$$

## Constructing a Bayesian Network

## Constructing <br> a Bayesian Network



- Directed acyclic graph where edges indicate conditional dependency
- A variable is conditionally independent of all its nondescendants (i.e., upstream variables) given its parents
- $P(H, W, S, C, M)=$ $P(H) P(W) P(S \mid H, W)$ $P(C \mid S) P(M \mid S)$
- Assume features are conditionally independent given the label:

$$
P(X, Y)=P(Y) P(X \mid Y)=P(Y) \prod_{d=1}^{D} P\left(X_{d} \mid Y\right)
$$

## Naïve Bayes as <br> a Bayesian Network

## Bayesian Network Example: Gene

 Expression

- How can we learn a Bayesian network?
- Learning the graph structure
- Learning the conditional probabilities


## Bayesian Networks: Outline

- What inference questions can we answer with a Bayesian network?
- Computing (or estimating) marginal (conditional) probabilities
- Implied (conditional) independencies

1. Specify the random variables

Learning a Network
2. Determine the conditional dependencies

- Prior knowledge
- Domain expertise
- Learned from data (model selection)


## Learning the Parameters



- $P(H, W, S, C, M)=$ $P(H) P(W) P(S \mid H, W)$ $P(C \mid S) P(M \mid S)$
- How many parameters do we need to learn?

$$
P(H=1)
$$

## Learning the Parameters



## Learning the Parameters <br> (Fully-observed)



- How can we learn a Bayesian network?
- Learning the graph structure
- Learning the conditional probabilities


## Bayesian Networks: Outline

- What inference questions can we answer with a Bayesian network?
- Computing (or estimating) marginal (conditional) probabilities
- Implied (conditional) independencies


## Computing

Joint
Probabilities...

## Computing Joint <br> Probabilities is easy

Computing
Marginal
Probabilities...


## Computing Marginal Probabilities



- Computing arbitrary marginal (conditional) distributions requires summing over exponentially many possible combinations of the unobserved variables
- Computation can be improved by storing and reusing calculated values (dynamic programming)
- Still exponential in the worst case
- Claim: 3-SAT reduces to computing marginal probabilities in a Bayesian network
- Proof (sketch): Given a Boolean equation in 3-CNF, e.g., $\left(X_{1} \vee X_{2} \vee X_{3}\right) \wedge\left(\neg X_{1} \vee X_{4} \vee \neg X_{N}\right) \wedge \cdots$, construct the corresponding Bayesian network

- $P(Y=1)>0$ means the 3-CNF is satisfiable!
- Sampling from a Bayesian network is easy!

1. Sample all free variables ( $H$ and $W$ )
2. Sample any variable whose parents have already been sampled
3. Stop once all variables have been sampled

$$
P(S=1) \approx \frac{\# \text { of samples w/ } S=1}{\# \text { of samples }}
$$

## Sampling for Bayesian Networks



- Sampling from a Bayesian network is easy!


## Sampling for Bayesian Networks



1. Sample all free variables ( $H$ and $W$ )
2. Sample any variable whose parents have already been sampled
3. Stop once all variables have been sampled
$P(H=1 \mid M=1)$
$\approx \frac{\# \text { of samples } \mathrm{w} / H=1 \text { and } M=1}{\# \text { of samples } \mathrm{w} / M=1}$

- If the condition is rare, we need lots of samples to get a good estimate
- Initialize $N_{\text {Condition }}=N_{\text {Event }}=0$


## Weighted Sampling for Bayesian Networks



- Draw a sample from the full joint distribution
- Set the condition to be true (set $M=1$ )
- Compute the joint probability of the adjusted sample, $w$ (easy!)

$$
N_{\text {Condition }}=N_{\text {Condition }}+w
$$

- If the event occurs in the adjusted sample ( $H=1$ ?), update $N_{\text {Event }}$

$$
N_{\text {Event }}=N_{\text {Event }}+w
$$

- Desired marginal conditional probability is $\approx \frac{N_{\text {Event }}}{N_{\text {Condition }}}$


## Conditional Independence



- $X$ and $Y$ are conditionally independent given $Z(X \perp Y \mid Z)$ if $P(X, Y \mid Z)=P(X \mid Z) P(Y \mid Z)$
- In a Bayesian network, each variable is conditionally independent of its non-descendants given its parents
- $H$ and $M$ are not independent but they are conditionally independent given $S$
- What other conditional
independencies does a Bayesian network imply?
- Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the set of all random variables in a Bayesian network
- A Markov blanket of $A \in \mathcal{S}$ is any set $B \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ s.t.

$$
A \perp \mathcal{S} \backslash B \mid B
$$

- Contains all the useful information about $A$
- Trivially, $\mathcal{S}$ is always a Markov blanket for any random variable in $\mathcal{S}$


## Markov

Boundary


- Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the set of all random variables in a Bayesian network
- The Markov boundary of $A$ is the smallest possible Markov blanket of $A$
- The Markov boundary consists of a variable's children, parents and coparents (the other parents of its children)


# But what if you care about the relationship between two variables? 



- Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the set of all random variables in a Bayesian network
- The Markov boundary of $A$ is the smallest possible Markov blanket of $A$
- The Markov boundary consists of a variable's children, parents and coparents (the other parents of its children)
- Random variables $A$ and $B$ are $d$-separated given evidence variables $Z$ if $A \perp B \mid Z$
- Definition 1: $A$ and $B$ are d-separated given $Z$ iff every undirected path between $A$ and $B$ is blocked by $Z$
- An undirected path between $A$ and $B$ is blocked by $Z$ if

1. $\exists$ a "common parent" variable $C$ on the path and $C \in Z$

## D-separation


2. $\exists$ a "cascade" variable $C$ on the path and $C \in Z$

3. $\exists$ a "collider" variable $C$ on the path and
$\{C$, descendents $(C)\} \notin Z$


- Random variables $A$ and $B$ are $d$-separated given evidence variables $Z$ if $A \perp B \mid Z$
- Definition 2: $A$ and $B$ are d-separated given $Z$ iff $\nexists$ a path between $A$ and $B$ in the undirected ancestral moral graph with $Z$ removed

1. Keep only $A, B, Z$ and their ancestors (ancestral graph)
2. Add edges between all co-parents (moral graph)
3. Undirected: replace directed edges with undirected ones
4. Delete $Z$ and check if $A$ and $B$ are connected

- Example: $A \perp B \mid\{D, E\}$ ?



## Learning the Parameters <br> (Fully-observed)



## What can we do if some variables are unobserved?



What can we do if some variables are unobserved?


- Suppose our dataset consists of observed variables $X^{(n)}$ and hidden or latent variables $Z^{(n)}$
- The log likelihood of the observed variables (assuming iid data) as a function of the conditional probabilities $\theta$ is:

$$
\ell(\theta)=\sum_{n=1}^{N} \log p\left(X^{(n)} \mid \theta\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{N} \log \left(\sum_{Z} p\left(X^{(n)}, Z^{(n)}=z \mid \theta\right)\right)
$$

- Issues:
- The parameters inside the log are not decoupled
- The sum inside the log contains exponentially many terms
- Insight: if we knew $Z^{(n)}$, then maximizing the complete log likelihood would be easy!

$$
\ell_{c}(\theta)=\sum_{n=1}^{N} \log p\left(X^{(n)}, Z^{(n)} \mid \theta\right)
$$

## ExpectationMaximization

- Insight: Given the observed variables $X^{(n)}$ and some setting of the parameters $\theta$, we can compute a posterior distribution over $Z^{(n)}$

$$
q(z)=p\left(Z^{(n)}=z \mid X^{(n)}, \theta\right)
$$

Suppose $X^{(n)}=\left(W^{(n)}=1, S^{(n)}=0, M^{(n)}=0\right)$

$$
P(H=1)=0.1 \quad P(W=1)=0.3
$$

Learning the
Parameters


$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(S=1 \mid H=1, W=1)=0.9 \\
& P(S=1 \mid H=1, W=0)=0.8 \\
& P(S=1 \mid H=0, W=1)=0.5 \\
& P(S=1 \mid H=0, W=0)=0.1
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
P(C=1 \mid S=1)=0.9 \quad P(M=1 \mid S=1)=0.7
$$

$$
P(C=1 \mid S=0)=0.1 \quad P(M=1 \mid S=0)=0.2
$$

| $h$ | $c$ | $p\left(H=h, C=c, X^{(n)}\right)$ | $q(H=h, C=c)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | $0.9 * 0.3 * 0.5 * 0.9 * 0.8 \approx 0.097$ | $0.097 / 0.1102 \approx 0.88$ |
| 0 | 1 | $0.9 * 0.3 * 0.5 * 0.1 * 0.8 \approx 0.011$ | $0.011 / 0.1102 \approx 0.10$ |
| 1 | 0 | $0.1 * 0.3 * 0.1 * 0.9 * 0.8 \approx 0.002$ | $0.002 / 0.1102 \approx 0.018$ |
| 1 | 1 | $0.1 * 0.3 * 0.1 * 0.1 * 0.8 \approx 0.0002$ | $0.0002 / 0.1102 \approx 0.002$ |

- Insight: if we knew $Z^{(n)}$, then maximizing the complete log likelihood would be easy!

$$
\ell_{c}(\theta)=\sum_{n=1}^{N} \log p\left(X^{(n)}, Z^{(n)} \mid \theta\right)
$$

## ExpectationMaximization

- Insight: Given the observed variables $X^{(n)}$ and some setting of the parameters $\theta$, we can (relatively) easily compute a posterior distribution over $Z^{(n)}$

$$
q_{\theta}(z)=p\left(Z^{(n)}=z \mid X^{(n)}, \theta\right)
$$

- Idea: optimize the expected complete log likelihood with respect to the current parameters $\theta^{(t)}$
- Randomly initialize the parameters $\theta^{(0)}$ and set $t=0$
- While NOT CONVERGED
- Expectation or E-step: Express the expected complete log likelihood as a function of the parameters $\theta$ using $\theta^{(t-1)}$

$$
Q_{\theta^{(t)}}(\theta)=\mathbb{E}_{q_{\theta^{(t)}}}\left[\ell_{c}(\theta)\right]
$$

$$
=\sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{z} p\left(Z^{(n)}=z \mid X^{(n)}, \theta^{(t)}\right) \log p\left(X^{(n)}, z \mid \theta\right)
$$

- Maximization or M-step: optimize the expected complete log likelihood with respect to the parameters

$$
\theta^{(t+1)}=\underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmax}} Q_{\theta^{(t)}}(\theta)
$$

## ExpectationMaximization

- Increment $t \leftarrow t+1$
- Bayesian networks are flexible models for modelling joint probability distributions
- Trade-off between expressiveness (full joint distributions) and computational tractability (Naïve Bayes)
- Bayesian networks represent conditional dependence though a directed acyclic graph
- Graph structure usually specified, can be learned
- Parameters in the fully-observed case learned via MLE
- Parameters in the partially-observed case learned via EM
- Computing marginal \& conditional distributions is NP-hard
- Can use sampling for approximate inference
- Markov blanket and d-separation provide notions of conditional independence for single and pairs of variables respectively

