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## Neural Networks Refresher

- Input features
- Architecture
- Hidden layers
- Pattern of connectivity
- Activation functions
- Output layer
- Loss function
- Optimization algorithm
- Evaluation strategy



## Kind of Task $\rightarrow$ Output Layer, Loss, Post-proc

- What choices would we make for binary classification?
- Multiclass classification?
- Multilabel classification?
- Scalar regression?
- Predicting $x, y$ coordinates?
- Ranking?
- Matching?
- Predict a set?
- Classification with cost sensitivity?


## Kind of Data $\rightarrow$ Representation, Architecture

- Images: Pixel Data $\rightarrow$ CNNs, Visual Transformers
- Audio: Raw wave form / STFTs $\rightarrow$ RNNs or Transformers
- Natural Language: Token Encodings / Embeddings $\rightarrow$ Transformers
- Social Media or Molecular Data: Graph Neural Networks



## Cambrian Explosion (530-545 Million Years Ago)

- Massive explosion in biodiversity
- Results in most major animal groups alive today
- Evolution of eye believed to have been a catalyst
- Predators could suddenly locate and go after prey
- Intense competition for prey
- Intense competition to escape predators


## Primacy of Vision in Human Cognition

- Over $50 \%$ of neurons in neural cortex involved in visual processing
- Far the largest sensory system
- Cornea and lens shine (small) image onto retina
- Retina transduces image into electrical signals using:
- Rods (night vision, more sensitive)
- Cones (three varieties, responsible for color perception)
- Visual cortex (somewhat) hierarchically organized
- Optic nerve fibers $\rightarrow$ LGN $\rightarrow$ V1-V5 (occipital lobe)


## Pre-Photography: Camera Obscura

- Pinhole camera-image projected thru small hole or lens onto a wall
- Possible inspiration for prehistoric art
- Described by Aristotle (322 BC), Euclid (in Optics)

- Described by Leonardo Da Vinci (1502)
- Used to study eclipses, sunspots
- Aid in drawing



## Photography

1826: Nicéphore Niépce captures an image (days of exposure)

- 1839: Metal-based dáguerreotype process, birth of practical photos
-1839: Paper-based negatives
- 1888: Kodal releases first hand-held camera, w preloaded film
- 1890s: First color photographs
- 1948: Polaroid introduces first instant camera
-1990s. Commercial introduction of computer-based digital cameras
- 2023: More cameras than people, video = majority of bits of all data (the dark matter of the internet)



## Block World - Larry Roberts (1963)

- First PhD in computer vision
- Inspired by human ability to reconstruct 3D scenes from 2D images (Roberts subsequently architected ARPANET)


(b) Differentiated picture

(c) Feature points selected


## Seymour Paper "Summer Vision Project"

MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY PROJECT MAC

The summer vision project is an attempt to use our summer workers effectively in the construction of a significant part of a visual system. The particular task was chosen partly because it can be segmented into sub-problems which will allow individuals to work independently and yet participate in the construction of a system complex enough to be a real landmark in the development of "pattern recognitionㅐ.

## David Marr "Vision" 1982

- Input image (raw inputs)
- $\rightarrow$ Primal Sketch
(blobs, edges, bars, lines, curves)
- $\rightarrow$ 2.5D Sketch
(surface orientation, depth info, discontinuities)
-3D Models
(hierarchical model, volumetric primitives)


## VISION



David Marr

## Early 2000s - emergence of ML-based vision

- SIFT features ("Scale-invariant feature transform")
- Local and invariant to scale, rotation
- Based on convolving images with Gaussian kernels
- Fed as input to ML classifiers
- Popular choices: Adaboost \& Support Vector Machines (SVMs)


Input image


Features HAAR, HOG, SIFT, SURF


## Canonical Image Tasks



# PASCAL Visual Object Classes <br> (20 classes, 20k images) 



## CIFAR 10 (\& 100) Datasets

- CIFAR 10
- 60k $32 \times 32$ color images
- 10 classes ( 6 k each)
- 50k in train set, 10k in test set
- CIFAR100:
- 60k 32x32 images
- 1000 classes, (600 images each)
- Grouped into 20 superclasses



## ImageNet Challenge

- Launched in 2009
- Collected images against WordNet hierarchy
- Sourced from Google, MSN, Yahoo!, Flickr
- Crowdsourcing to confirm labels
- 22k categories, 14M images


## IMrGENET

Top-5 error rate


## Convolutional Neural Network Architectures


"AlexNet" - (Krizhevsky, Sutskever, Hinton 2012)

ConvNetJS

- https://cs.stanford.edu/people/karpathy/convnetjs/


## What do images look like to a computer?

| 54 | 42 | 48 | 36 | 7 | 78 | 42 | 21 | 44 | 35 | 15 | 28 | 7 | 80 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 97 | 33 | 60 | 38 | 96 | 15 | 2 | 90 | 13 | 7 | 93 | 45 | 87 | 85 |
| 81 | 48 | 67 | 66 | 88 | 22 | 79 | 99 | 87 | 83 | 73 | 40 | 66 | 96 |
| 31 | 49 | 58 | 85 | 80 | 31 | 51 | 99 | 36 | 5 | 57 | 81 | 57 | 75 |
| 21 | 55 | 65 | 17 | 59 | 15 | 20 | 19 | 88 | 74 | 0 | 27 | 26 | 35 |
| 55 | 75 | 37 | 13 | 46 | 70 | 42 | 35 | 13 | 98 | 35 | 78 | 92 | 27 |
| 52 | 60 | 81 | 38 | 56 | 56 | 79 | 89 | 6 | 43 | 71 | 67 | 24 | 66 |
| 33 | 22 | 71 | 12 | 56 | 15 | 0 | 79 | 46 | 17 | 87 | 17 | 15 | 88 |
| 11 | 31 | 33 | 78 | 54 | 78 | 70 | 43 | 55 | 24 | 84 | 49 | 89 | 76 |
| 52 | 66 | 93 | 53 | 9 | 33 | 23 | 51 | 23 | 90 | 27 | 98 | 74 | 82 |
| 17 | 7 | 24 | 25 | 96 | 31 | 3 | 67 | 78 | 61 | 96 | 86 | 99 | 12 |
| 86 | 55 | 81 | 70 | 7 | 61 | 48 | 39 | 13 | 64 | 38 | 37 | 40 | 93 |
| 84 | 24 | 70 | 29 | 21 | 34 | 41 | 82 | 9 | 43 | 77 | 74 | 58 | 91 |
| 69 | 17 | 38 | 15 | 32 | 46 | 9 | 60 | 66 | 21 | 7 | 58 | 25 | 97 |

## What do Color Images, to a Computer?

|  |  | 54 42 |  | 48 | \| 36 |  |  | 42 | \| 21 | 44 | 35 | 15 |  | 28 7 | 80 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 54 | 42 | 48 | 36 | 7 | 78 | 42 | 21 | 44 | 35 | 15 | 28 | 7 | 80 | 85 |
| 54 | 42 | 48 | 36 | 7 | 78 | 42 | 21 | 44 | 35 | 15 | 28 | 7 | 80 | 85 | 96 |
| 97 | 33 | 60 | 38 | 96 | 15 | 2 | 90 | 13 | 7 | 93 | 45 | 87 | 85 | 96 | 75 |
| 81 | 48 | 67 | 66 | 88 | 22 | 79 | 99 | 87 | 83 | 73 | 40 | 66 | 96 | 75 | 35 |
| 31 | 49 | 58 | 85 | 80 | 31 | 51 | 99 | 36 | 5 | 57 | 81 | 57 | 75 | 35 | 27 |
| 21 | 55 | 65 | 17 | 59 | 15 | 20 | 19 | 88 | 74 | 0 | 27 | 26 | 35 | 27 | 66 |
| 55 | 75 | 37 | 13 | 46 | 70 | 42 | 35 | 13 | 98 | 35 | 78 | 92 | 27 | 66 | 88 |
| 52 | 60 | 81 | 38 | 56 | 56 | 79 | 89 | 6 | 43 | 71 | 67 | 24 | 66 | 88 | 76 |
| 33 | 22 | 71 | 12 | 56 | 15 | 0 | 79 | 46 | 17 | 87 | 17 | 15 | 88 | 76 | 82 |
| 11 | 31 | 33 | 78 | 54 | 78 | 70 | 43 | 55 | 24 | 84 | 49 | 89 | 76 | 82 | 12 |
| 52 | 66 | 93 | 53 | 9 | 33 | 23 | 51 | 23 | 90 | 27 | 98 | 74 | 82 | 12 | 93 |
| 17 | 7 | 24 | 25 | 96 | 31 | 3 | 67 | 78 | 61 | 96 | 86 | 99 | 12 | 93 | 91 |
| 86 | 55 | 81 | 70 | 7 | 61 | 48 | 39 | 13 | 64 | 38 | 37 | 40 | 93 | 91 | 97 |
| 84 | 24 | 70 | 29 | 21 | 34 | 41 | 82 | 9 | 43 | 77 | 74 | 58 | 91 | 97 |  |
| 69 | 17 | 38 | 15 | 32 | 46 | 9 | 60 | 66 | 21 | 7 | 58 | 25 | 97 |  |  |

## Multiple Input Channels

- Color images typically have three channels (RGB)
- Converting to grayscale loses information



## Why not apply (k-)Nearest Neighbor?

-Where does the distance function come from?

- Shift an image $X$ by two pixels to get $X^{\prime}$
- The distance (Euclidean, Manhattan) $\left|X-X^{\prime}\right|$ can be enormous!


## Why not apply linear models?

- Nothing special about any pixel location
- Why should any weight be different than any other weight?
- An image and its inverse depict the same object!


## "The Semantic Gap"

- Massive conceptual difference in abstraction between pixel and label
- Same object can come in different sizes, shapes, locations, colors, etc.
- Even the very same photograph could look wildly different at the pixel level (due to compression artifacts, filters, cropping)


## Why Representation Learning?

Classical prediction pipeline

- Hand-engineer features
- Use prior knowledge (or hacks)
- Feed features to simple ML model


## Deep learning pipeline

- Learn the features and the classifier jointly
- Discover interactions and nonlinear relationships



## Why not classify images with MLPs?

- Suppose we wish capture $1000 \times 1000$ pixel color images
- How many input neurons would we need?
- Suppose we wish to preserve dimensionality in first hidden layer
- How many weights would we need?


## Key Intuitions behind Convolutional Layers

- Our "internal representations" of preserve spatial structure
- Hierarchically arranged to bridge semantic gap, cartoon:



## CONVOLUTION

FUNDAMENTALS

## COMPUIER VISION



PROBLEM: IMAGES CAN BE BlG
$1000 \times 1000 \times 3$ (RGB) $=3 M$
WITH 1000 HIDDEN UNITS WE
NEED $3 M * 1000=3 B$ PARAMS
SOLUTION: USE CONVDLUTIONS TT'S LIKE SCANNING OVER YOUR IMG WITH A MAGNIFYING GASS $\overline{O R}$ 抽TER

ALSO SOLLEA THE PROBLAM
that the cat Is not
ALWAYS IN THE SAME


INPVT b.6 |MAGE


THIS IS LIKE ADDING
AN INSTA' FILTER THAT JUST SHOWS OUTINES

WE COULD HARD.CODE FILIERS. JUSTLIKE WE CAN HARD-CODE HEURISTC RULES... BUT... A MUCH BETIER WAY IS TO TREAT HE FILIER\# AS PARAMS
TO BE LEARNED $\omega_{1}\left|\omega_{2}\right| \omega_{3}$


## Two Principles

- Translation Invariance
- Locality


## From Dense Layers to Convolutional Layers

- Shape inputs and outputs as matrices (width, height)
- Shape weights as a giant 4D tensor ( $h, w$ ) to ( $h^{\prime}, w^{\prime}$ )

$$
h_{i, j}=\sum_{k, l} w_{i, j, k, l} x_{k, l}=\sum_{a, b} v_{i, j, a, b} x_{i+a, j+b}
$$

V is re-indexes W such as that

$$
v_{i, j, a, b}=w_{i, j, i+a, j+b}
$$

## Idea \#1 - Translation Invariance

$$
h_{i, j}=\sum_{a, b} v_{i, j, a, b} x_{i+a, j+b}
$$

- A shift in $x$ also leads to a shift in $h$
- $v$ should not depend on ( $i, j$ ). Fix via

$$
v_{i, j, a, b}=v_{a, b}
$$

$$
h_{i, j}=\sum_{a, b} v_{a, b} x_{i+a, j+b}
$$

That's a cross-correlation

## Idea \#2 - Locality

$$
h_{i, j}=\sum_{a, b} v_{a, b} x_{i+a, j+b}
$$

- We shouldn't look very far from $x(i, j)$ in order to assess what's going on at $\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j})$
- Outside range $|a|,|b|>\Delta$ parameters vanish $v_{a, b}=0$

$$
h_{i, j}=\sum_{a=-\Delta b=-\Delta}^{\Delta} \sum_{a, b}^{\Delta} x_{i+a, j+b}
$$

## 2-D Cross Correlation

Input

| 0 | 1 | 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 6 | 7 | 8 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \times 0+1 \times 1+3 \times 2+4 \times 3=19 \\
& 1 \times 0+2 \times 1+4 \times 2+5 \times 3=25 \\
& 3 \times 0+4 \times 1+6 \times 2+7 \times 3=37 \\
& 4 \times 0+5 \times 1+7 \times 2+8 \times 3=43
\end{aligned}
$$


(vdumoulin@ Github)

## 2-D Convolution Layer

- X: $n_{h} \times n_{w}$ input matrix

$$
\mathbf{Y}=\mathbf{X} \star \mathbf{W}+b
$$

- W: $k_{h} \times k_{w}$ kernel matrix
-b: scalar bias
- Y: $\left(n_{h}-k_{h}+1\right) \times\left(n_{w} .-k_{w}+1\right)$ output matrix
- W and $b$ are learnable parameters

| 0 | 1 | 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 6 | 7 | 8 |



$=$| 19 | 25 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 37 | 43 |

Examples $\left[\begin{array}{rrr}-1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 8 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1\end{array}\right]$


Edge Detection


$$
\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
0 & -1 & 0 \\
-1 & 5 & -1 \\
0 & -1 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$



Sharpen
(wikipedia)

$$
\frac{1}{16}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 2 & 1 \\
2 & 4 & 2 \\
1 & 2 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$



Gaussian Blur

## Examples


(Rob Fergus)


## 1-D and 3-D Cross Correlations

-1-D

$$
y_{i}=\sum_{a=1}^{h} w_{a} x_{i+a}
$$

- Text
- Voice
- Time series
-3-D

$$
y_{i, j, k}=\sum_{a=1}^{h} \sum_{b=1}^{w} \sum_{c=1}^{d} w_{a, b, c} x_{i+a, j+b, k+c}
$$

- Video
- Medical images



## Padding

－Given a $32 \times 32$ input image
－Apply convolutional layer with $5 \times 5$ kernel
－ $28 \times 28$ output with 1 layer
－ $4 \times 4$ output with 7 layers
－Shape decreases faster with larger kernels
－Shape reduces from $n_{h} \times n_{w}$

$$
\text { to } \quad\left(n_{h}-k_{h}+1\right) \times\left(n_{w}-k_{w}+1\right)
$$



国国

## Padding

Fills in rows/columns around input (with 0's)

|  | Input |  |  |  |  | Kernel |  |  | Output |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \% 0 | * |  |  | $=$ |  |  |  |  |
| . | 0 | 1 | 2 | - |  |  |  |  | 0 | 3 | 8 | 4 |
| 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 9 | 19 | 25 | 10 |
| 0 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 0 |  | 2 | 3 |  | 21 | 37 | 43 | 16 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 7 | 8 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | : 0 |  | : 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



## Padding

- Padding $p_{h}$ rows and. $p_{w}$ columns, output shape will be

$$
\left(n_{h}-k_{h}+p_{h}+1\right) \times\left(n_{w}-k_{w}+p_{w}+1\right)
$$

- A common choice is $p_{h}=k_{h}-1$ and $p_{w}=k_{w}-1$
- Odd $k_{h}$ pad $p_{h} / 2$ on both sides
- Even $k_{h}^{i}$ pad $\left\lceil p_{h} / 2\right\rceil$ on top, $\left\lfloor p_{h} / 2\right\rfloor$ on bottom


## Stride

－Padding reduces shape linearly with \＃layers
－Given a $224 \times 224$ input with a $5 \times 5$ kernel，needs 44 layers to reduce the shape to $4 \times 4$
－Requires a large amount of computation


## Stride

- Stride is the \#rows/\#columns per slide Strides of 3 and 2 for height and width

Input
Kernel
正
Output

| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| 0 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 0 |
| 0 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

* 



$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \times 0+0 \times 1+1 \times 2+2 \times 3=8 \\
& 0 \times 0+6 \times 1+0 \times 2+0 \times 3=6
\end{aligned}
$$



## Stride

- Given stride $S_{h}$ for the height and stride $S_{w}$ for the width, the output shape is

$$
\left\lfloor\left(n_{h}-k_{h}+p_{h}+s_{h}\right) / s_{h}\right\rfloor \times\left\lfloor\left(n_{w}-k_{w}+p_{w}+s_{w}\right) / s_{w}\right\rfloor
$$

- With $\quad p_{h}=k_{h}-1$ and $p_{w}=k_{w}-1$

$$
\left\lfloor\left(n_{h}+s_{h}-1\right) / s_{h}\right\rfloor \times\left\lfloor\left(n_{w}+s_{w}-1\right) / s_{w}\right\rfloor
$$

- If input height/width are divisible by strides

$$
\left(n_{h} / s_{h}\right) \times\left(n_{w} / s_{w}\right)
$$

## Multiple Input and Output

 Channels
## Multiple Input Channels

- Color images typically have three channels (RGB)
- Converting to grayscale loses information



## Multiple Input Channels

- Allocate a separate kernel for each input channel, sum results over all channels to produce feature map

Input
Kernel
Input
Kernel
Output

$$
\begin{gathered}
(1 \times 1+2 \times 2+4 \times 3+5 \times 4) \\
+(0 \times 0+1 \times 1+3 \times 2+4 \times 3) \\
=56
\end{gathered}
$$

## Multiple Input Channels

$\mathbf{X}: c_{i} \times n_{h} \times n_{w}$
W: $c_{i} \times k_{h} \times k_{\text {Kernel }}^{\text {input }}$
.Y: $m_{h} \times m_{w_{\text {output }}}$

$$
\mathbf{Y}=\sum_{i=0}^{c_{i}} \mathbf{X}_{i,:,:} \star \mathbf{W}_{i,:,:}
$$

## Multiple Output Channels

- With multiple kernels, each one generates an output channel
- Each channel is called a "feature map"
- Stacked together, we can think of this as a 4D parameter
- Input $\quad \mathbf{X}: c_{i} \times n_{h} \times n_{w}$
- Kernel W: $c_{o} \times c_{i} \times k_{h} \times k_{w}$
- Output Y: $c_{o} \times m_{h} \times m_{w}$


## Multiple Input/Output Channels

- Each output channel may recognize a particular pattern

- Input channels kernels recognize and combines patterns in inputs


## $1 \times 1$ Convolutional Layer

$k_{h}=k_{w}=1$


$$
n_{h} n_{w} \times c_{i}
$$

$c_{o} \times c_{i}$


## Pooling

- Convolution is sensitive to position
- Detect vertical edges

- We need some degree of invariance to translation
- Lighting, object positions, scales, appearance vary among images


## 2-D Max Pooling

- Returns the maximal value in sliding wind

Input

| 0 | 1 | 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 6 | 7 | 8 |


$\max (0,1,3,4)=4$


## Padding, Stride, and Multiple Channels

- Pooling layers have similar padding and stride as convolutional layers
- No learnable parameters
- Pooling applied separately on each channel \#output channels = \#input channels



## Max vs Mean

- Max pooling: the strongest pattern signal in a window, non-linear
- Average pooling: replace max with mean in max pooling, linear
- The average signal in each window

Max pooling


Average pooling


## The LeNet Architecture



Philip Marlowe portianp gr 970 6381 Hollywood Blud * 615


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Dace Fermiek } \\
& \text { Ultter, ine } \\
& 509 \text { Cascade Hre, Suite H } \\
& \text { Hood Rier, OR } 9>031
\end{aligned}
$$

## Handwritten Digit Recognition

2-2

## 970 23060 OH




MNIST

- Centered and scaled
- 50,000 training data
- 10,000 test data
- $28 \times 28$ images
- 10 classes answer: 0



## LeNet in Pytorch

```
class LeNet5(nn.Module):
    def __init__(self, n_classes):
    super(LeNet5, self).__init__()
    self.feature_extractor = nn.Sequential(
        nn.Conv2d(in_channels=1, out_channels=6, kernel_size=5, stride=1),
        nn.Tanh(),
        nn.AvgPool2d(kernel_size=2),
        nn.Conv2d(in_channels=6, out_channels=16, kernel_size=5, stride=1),
        nn.Tanh(),
        nn.AvgPool2d(kernel_size=2),
        nn.Conv2d(in_channels=16, out_channels=120, kernel_size=5, stride=1),
        nn.Tanh()
    )
    self.classifier = nn.Sequential(
        nn.Linear(in_features=120, out_features=84),
        nn.Tanh(),
        nn.Linear(in_features=84, out_features=n_classes),
```


## Summary

- Convolutional layer
- Reduced model capacity compared to dense layer
- Efficient at detecting spatial pattens
- Enforces locality, spatial invariances
- Computable in parallel
- Control output shape via padding, strides and channels
- Max/Average Pooling layer
- Provides some degree of invariance to translation
- Architecture Pattern
- As network gets deeper, downsample on spatial axes, grow \# of channels

